

The Holographic Computational Universe: A Boundary-Bulk Architecture for Information Physics

El Bouazzaoui TAYB
Independent Researcher | Morocco
ORCID: 0009-0003-9109-5753
Email: tayb61@gmail.com
National Identity Card: B630786 (Morocco)

Version 1.0 – Final

May 14, 2026

COPYRIGHT AND TERMS OF USE

© 2026 El Bouazzaoui TAYB. All Rights Reserved.

Independent Researcher | Morocco
ORCID: 0009-0003-9109-5753
National Identity Card: B630786 (Morocco)
Contact: tayb61@gmail.com

Ownership of Theory: The theoretical framework, mathematical formulations, and original concepts contained in this document remain the exclusive intellectual property of the author.

Citation Requirement: Any academic, scientific, or technical use of this framework must explicitly cite this document and its associated DOI as the primary source.

Commercial Use: Commercial exploitation, integration into proprietary software, or use in training commercial AI models without a formal licensing agreement is strictly prohibited.

Enforcement: This work is registered and timestamped via Zenodo (CERN). Unauthorized attribution or plagiarism will be subject to legal action under international intellectual property laws.

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.20180307](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20180307)

Abstract

We present a comprehensive synthesis of the computational universe paradigm rooted in quantum information theory, the holographic principle, and the AdS/CFT correspondence. The observable universe is modeled as a dual-phase system: a lower-dimensional boundary quantum field theory encodes the complete quantum

state of the universe with maximal information density, while the bulk spacetime we inhabit emerges as a coarse-grained, geometrized representation of that code. In this framework, general relativity, quantum mechanics, and thermodynamics are not fundamental but are different effective descriptions of an underlying quantum error-correcting code. We provide a detailed account of how gravity arises from entanglement thermodynamics, how dark energy corresponds to the growth of the boundary Hilbert space, how time dilation is a function of local information density, and how quantum non-locality is naturally reconciled with relativistic causality. The black hole information paradox is resolved through the island formula and the code’s unitarity. We introduce holographic complexity as the driver of cosmic expansion and discuss ER=EPR as the geometric manifestation of entanglement. The framework yields specific, testable predictions, including holographic noise in gravitational-wave detectors, scale-dependent deviations from the standard cosmological model, and signatures in condensed matter analogues. We conclude by outlining the fundamental contributions this architecture makes to a unified theory of physics and the open questions it raises.

Keywords: Holographic principle, AdS/CFT correspondence, quantum information, emergent spacetime, quantum error correction, entanglement, digital physics, quantum gravity.

1 Introduction

Physics has long sought a unified description of all fundamental interactions. Over the past four decades, a radical idea has taken shape: that information, not matter or energy, is the ultimate substrate of reality. Wheeler [1] summarized this vision with the phrase “it from bit.” The holographic principle, proposed by ‘t Hooft [2] and Susskind [3], gave the idea a quantitative backbone: all information contained in a volume of space can be represented on its boundary, with a density not exceeding one bit per four Planck areas. Maldacena’s [4] discovery of the AdS/CFT correspondence made the holographic principle mathematically precise, showing that a gravitational theory in anti-de Sitter (AdS) space is exactly equivalent to a conformal field theory (CFT) on its boundary.

Parallel to these developments, the fields of quantum information and quantum computation have revealed deep connections between entanglement, geometry, and thermodynamics. The Ryu–Takayanagi formula [5] equates the area of a minimal surface in the bulk to the entanglement entropy of a boundary region, directly linking spacetime geometry to quantum information. More recently, it was shown that the bulk-boundary map is a quantum error-correcting code [6], protecting the bulk’s locality against erasures on the boundary.

These insights invite a reconceptualization of the universe as a vast quantum information processor. In this paper, we develop that viewpoint into a coherent framework, which we call the *Holographic Computational Architecture*. The boundary field theory serves as the fundamental “source code,” storing every quantum state in a non-local, perfectly unitary manner. The four-dimensional spacetime of our experience is a higher-level “execution layer” generated from the entanglement structure of that code. All known physical laws—general relativity, the standard model of particle physics, quantum mechanics, and thermodynamics—emerge as effective rules governing the relationship between the boundary data and its bulk projection.

This synthesis does not rely on any ad-hoc central processing agents, teleological principles, or speculative substances. Instead, it derives everything from a few well-established principles of quantum information theory and holography. In what follows, we systematically develop the architecture, reinterpret long-standing puzzles in its light, and outline the concrete scientific contributions it makes toward a unified theory.

2 The Holographic Principle and AdS/CFT: The Computational Code

The holographic principle posits that the fundamental description of any region of space resides on its boundary. The AdS/CFT correspondence is the most explicit realization of this idea. It states that a string theory on an AdS_{d+1} background is dual to a CFT in d dimensions on the boundary of that space. The CFT is a quantum field theory without gravity; its degrees of freedom are point-like and its dynamics are strictly unitary. The bulk theory, by contrast, contains dynamical gravity and a curved spacetime.

From a computational perspective, the boundary CFT is the “machine code.” Its Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_∂ holds the complete state of the system. The bulk AdS spacetime, with its metric and matter fields, is a convenient, emergent representation. Every allowed process in the bulk corresponds to a unitary transformation in the boundary CFT. In this sense, the boundary CFT Hamiltonian is the *instruction set* governing all of bulk physics.

Crucially, the boundary theory is not localized on a surface in space; it is a separate quantum system whose degrees of freedom are mapped to the bulk through a holographic dictionary. This dictionary is isometric: it preserves the inner product, guaranteeing that unitarity in the boundary translates to a unitary S-matrix in the bulk, even when processes such as black hole formation and evaporation appear to lose information from the semiclassical viewpoint.

3 The Boundary Theory as an Information Archive

The boundary CFT serves as the “informational deposit,” the archival phase of the universe. Its properties are fundamentally different from the manifest bulk.

- **Maximal information density.** The Bekenstein–Hawking entropy bound $S = A/4G$ shows that the boundary stores information at the Planckian density of one bit per four Planck areas. No bulk region can exceed this.
- **Zero thermodynamic energy cost.** The boundary state can encode arbitrarily complex quantum data without any energy consumption beyond the vacuum energy of the CFT, because information is not a thermodynamic variable there; it is the defining substrate.
- **Append-only ledger.** Because boundary evolution is unitary, information is never erased. The full history of the universe—every causal interaction—is permanently encoded in the boundary Hilbert space. This satisfies the requirement of quantum information conservation in the most radical way.

Any bulk process that appears to destroy information, such as a black hole swallowing a book, is simply a rearrangement of the boundary code. The information remains present

in the global state and can, in principle, be recovered from the Hawking radiation through complex decoding operations, as demonstrated by the island formula [7, 8].

4 Bulk Emergence via Quantum Error Correction

How does a smooth, local spacetime with semi-classical fields emerge from a non-gravitational boundary theory? The answer lies in quantum error correction. The bulk-boundary map is an isometric encoding of a logical subspace into a larger physical Hilbert space. Bulk local operators are logical operators acting on this code subspace.

Almheiri, Dong, and Harlow [6] showed that the encoding exactly satisfies the Knill–Laflamme conditions for a quantum error-correcting code. A bulk operator localized in a region R of the AdS space can be represented on any boundary subregion that contains the “entanglement wedge” of R (i.e., the region dual to R via the Ryu–Takayanagi surface). Erasing a part of the boundary that does not contain the full entanglement wedge leaves the logical information intact. This redundancy is what allows the bulk to appear local while being robust against the loss of boundary degrees of freedom.

A vivid consequence is that a bulk observer near a black hole horizon has a duplicate representation of their quantum state: one inside the black hole and one in the Hawking radiation. The no-cloning theorem is respected because the state is not cloned; it is logically encoded in two different subsystems of the boundary that never interact causally. This is the essence of black hole complementarity and the resolution of the information paradox.

The transport of information from the interior to the exterior during evaporation is a high-bandwidth teleportation protocol mediated by the boundary’s non-local operations. Hayden and Preskill [9] described a black hole as a quantum information mirror: after half its entropy has been radiated, newly infalling information is rapidly scrambled and returned to the exterior. In our framework, this is the boundary code re-encoding the logical qubits from the interior wedge to the radiation wedge.

5 Geometric Generation: Entanglement and the Ryu–Takayanagi Formula

The emergence of bulk geometry is governed by the entanglement structure of the boundary state. The Ryu–Takayanagi formula [5] is the central equation:

$$S(A) = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N}, \quad (1)$$

where $S(A)$ is the von Neumann entropy of the boundary subregion A , and γ_A is the minimal area surface in the bulk homologous to A . This relation has been proven for general states in AdS/CFT using the replica trick [10].

This formula tells us that the area of a surface in spacetime is a direct measure of quantum entanglement across that surface. If two boundary regions are highly entangled, the minimal surface separating them bulges deep into the bulk, creating a larger volume of emergent space. Conversely, if the boundary state is a product state with no entanglement,

the bulk geometry pinches off entirely—a scenario akin to a wormhole disconnected from the outside.

Thus, the bulk spacetime is literally woven from entanglement. Matter and energy are modulations of that entanglement. A particle in the bulk corresponds to a localized excitation of the boundary entanglement pattern; its mass is determined by the scaling dimension of the boundary operator that creates it.

6 Phase-Dualism: Boundary State and Coarse-Grained Bulk

The dual-phase nature of the universe is captured by the holographic dictionary. The complete quantum state is a vector $|\Psi\rangle_{\partial}$ in the boundary Hilbert space. This state contains all information about the system at the finest possible level. The bulk description arises when we coarse-grain by restricting to a subspace of bulk effective field theory.

We can write this as:

$$|\Psi\rangle_{\text{bulk effective}} = \mathcal{C}(|\Psi\rangle_{\partial}), \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{C} is the coarse-graining map that integrates out trans-Planckian degrees of freedom and selects a particular background geometry. The boundary state is the “informational deposit,” a zero-temperature, purely quantum object. The bulk is the “manifest phase,” where the state takes on a semiclassical geometry and where quantum fields are defined on a curved spacetime.

Unitarity of the boundary evolution guarantees that

$$\langle\Psi(t)|\Psi(t)\rangle_{\partial} = 1 \quad \forall t, \quad (3)$$

so the global information is always conserved. The manifest bulk can appear to lose information (e.g., into a black hole), but the full boundary state remains pure.

7 Reinterpretations of Physical Phenomena

With the architecture in place, we can recast many puzzling features of modern physics in a unified, information-theoretic language.

7.1 Dimensionality as Renormalization Group Flow

In AdS/CFT, the radial coordinate of the bulk is geometrically dual to the energy scale of the boundary theory. The boundary CFT undergoes a renormalization group (RG) flow from ultraviolet (high energy) to infrared (low energy). The holographic dictionary identifies this RG flow with motion into the bulk. The extra spatial dimension we perceive is therefore not a fundamental container but an RG scale; dimensionality is a data-compression algorithm that organizes boundary information by energy. The real, four-dimensional universe could similarly be the holographic projection of a three-dimensional non-gravitational theory.

This viewpoint is supported by tensor network models such as the Multi-scale Entanglement Renormalization Ansatz (MERA), which explicitly represents an RG flow as a discrete hyperbolic geometry [11]. A perfect tensor network, for example, builds a discretized

AdS space from iteratively applied isometries, exactly mirroring the error-correcting code structure.

7.2 Quantum Mechanics as Information Processing

The strange features of quantum mechanics become natural in a holographic code.

- **Superposition:** A particle in a superposition of two positions corresponds to a boundary state in which the logical qubit has not yet been coupled to a large environment. The bulk code subspace contains both possibilities as orthogonal states.
- **Entanglement:** When two particles are entangled, their boundary supports overlap in a shared code subspace. A measurement on one particle updates the logical state of that subspace, which instantaneously affects the other particle’s representation without any bulk signal. This is the “shared pointer” in the boundary code.
- **Wavefunction collapse:** Collapse is not a physical process but an update of the effective bulk description when an observer (a large environment) entangles with the system, causing the logical subspace to branch. This is standard decoherence, now geometrically embedded.

7.3 Time and the Arrow from Entanglement Growth

Time in the bulk is dual to the unitary evolution of the boundary CFT. The arrow of time is not fundamental but emergent from the continuous growth of the boundary state’s entanglement complexity. Under generic unitary dynamics, entanglement entropy increases monotonically, which corresponds to the expansion of the bulk spacetime and the growth of the area of horizons. The second law of thermodynamics is thus a consequence of the boundary’s ever-increasing entanglement.

Time dilation in a gravitational field receives a precise information-theoretic explanation. The local proper time between two events is inversely proportional to the density of entanglement structure nearby. Near a massive object, the boundary code must process more qubits per unit of asymptotic time to maintain the local geometry, causing a slower apparent rate of clock ticks. This unifies the gravitational redshift with the rate of quantum operations.

8 Gravity, Dark Energy, and Information

8.1 Gravity from Entanglement Thermodynamics

Jacobson [12] showed that the Einstein field equations can be derived from the proportionality of entropy to area, assuming the Clausius relation $\delta Q = TdS$ holds across local Rindler horizons. In the holographic computational architecture, this derivation is elevated to a microscopic origin: the entropy is the entanglement entropy of the boundary code, and the temperature is the Unruh temperature associated with acceleration. Gravity is not a fundamental force but an entropic gradient arising from the variation of entanglement under changes in the boundary state.

Verlinde [13] elaborated this into an emergent gravity scenario in de Sitter space, where the dark energy scale sets the entanglement entropy density. Our framework unifies these

views: the Einstein equations are the thermodynamic equation of state of the boundary qubits.

8.2 Dark Energy and the Growth of Hilbert Space

The observed accelerated expansion of the universe is reinterpreted as the increase of the boundary Hilbert space’s effective dimension. As the boundary state evolves unitarily, the number of active degrees of freedom—the central charge of the CFT—grows. This expansion of the code’s state space translates into a de Sitter-like expansion of the bulk geometry. Dark energy is therefore not a mysterious fluid but the kinetic energy of complexity growth. This offers a potential resolution to the coincidence problem: the universe is accelerating because it is in a phase of maximal entanglement growth.

8.3 Information-Energy Equivalence

The famous relation $E = mc^2$ can be read as an information-energy equivalence. To maintain a mass m in the manifest bulk, the boundary code must execute a certain number of logical operations per unit boundary time, consuming information-theoretic resources. The energy is the cost of preventing decoherence and maintaining the particle’s definite trajectory. This resonates with Landauer’s principle [14], which states that erasing one bit of information dissipates $k_B T \ln 2$ of heat. Here, the presence of rest mass is the irreversible investment of boundary bits.

9 Holographic Complexity and the Expansion of Space

Beyond entanglement entropy, which measures the area of surfaces, holographic duality introduces another geometric quantity: computational complexity. The *complexity* of the boundary state—the minimum number of quantum gates needed to prepare it from a reference state—has been conjectured to be dual to either the volume of a maximal slice behind the horizon (complexity=volume, [15]) or the gravitational action on a Wheeler-DeWitt patch (complexity=action, [16]).

This concept has profound implications. The growth of the interior of a black hole after the Page time is dual to the continuing increase of boundary complexity, even after the entanglement entropy has plateaued. More generally, the expansion of the universe itself may be driven by the monotonic growth of complexity. The arrow of time, the second law, and the cosmic expansion are all facets of the same boundary phenomenon: the irreversible increase of quantum complexity.

In our architecture, the continuous allocation of more “memory” to accommodate growing complexity directly manifests as the metric expansion of space. The Hubble parameter is proportional to the rate of complexity growth per unit volume.

10 ER=EPR and Wormholes as Entanglement

Maldacena and Susskind [17] proposed that Einstein-Rosen bridges (wormholes) are geometrically equivalent to Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) entanglement: ER=EPR. In the

holographic computational code, this is a natural consequence. When two boundary subregions are maximally entangled, the Ryu–Takayanagi minimal surface connecting them becomes the throat of a wormhole in the bulk. The two seemingly disconnected regions of spacetime are in fact directly connected through the entanglement structure of the code.

This deepens the interpretation of quantum teleportation and non-local correlations. A measurement that traverses an ER bridge is simply a re-encoding of the logical state in the boundary. The firewall paradox is avoided because the interior of a black hole is not a separate region but a part of the entanglement wedge of the radiation after the Page time. The computational architecture thus unifies spacetime topology with quantum information.

11 Error Correction, Physical Law, and Non-locality

The local symmetries of general relativity—diffeomorphism invariance—and the gauge symmetries of the standard model arise as features of the quantum error-correcting code. A gauge symmetry is exactly the redundancy of the encoding: different boundary representations correspond to the same bulk physical state. Violating a gauge symmetry would introduce uncorrectable errors, which the code’s dynamics automatically suppress.

Bell inequality violations are evidence that the underlying code is not a local map. Two spacelike separated measurements are supported on overlapping boundary regions, so their statistics exhibit correlations that cannot be explained by a local classical hidden-variable theory. Yet, because the boundary dynamics are causal (Lieb–Robinson bounded), no signal can propagate superluminally in the bulk. This reconciles quantum non-locality with relativistic causality without any paradox.

12 The Observer, Decoherence, and the Many-Worlds Code

The role of the observer in quantum mechanics is demystified. An “observer” is any macroscopic system that interacts with a quantum system and becomes entangled, effectively measuring it. In the holographic code, this expands the relevant code subspace to include environmental degrees of freedom, selecting a particular branch of the wavefunction. There is no physical collapse; only an effective, relative-state description à la Everett.

The many-worlds interpretation corresponds to the fact that the boundary state evolves unitarily and thus contains all possible outcomes in superposition. Decoherence splits this superposition into orthogonal subspaces that are dynamically independent. Each branch has its own emergent spacetime, logically separated from the others by the code’s isometry. This is “database sharding” of the universal Hilbert space.

13 Thermodynamics and the Principle of Least Action

Landauer’s principle links heat to information erasure. In the boundary code, any thermal process corresponds to a scrambling of information that increases the von Neumann en-

tropy of the accessible region. The bulk temperature is the average energy per boundary bit.

The principle of least action can be understood as a consequence of optimal coding. A classical particle follows a trajectory that extremizes the proper time, which in the boundary corresponds to the path that requires the minimal change in entanglement structure. Nature chooses the computationally cheapest route.

14 Planck-Scale Discreteness and Cosmological Limits

The Planck length $l_p = \sqrt{\hbar G/c^3}$ and Planck time $t_p = l_p/c$ are the resolution limits of the emergent geometry. They are the pixel size and refresh time of the holographic “screen.” Attempting to probe below these scales in the bulk yields no further geometrical information because the boundary code simply has no finer degrees of freedom. The Heisenberg uncertainty principle is the statement that the conjugate bulk variables cannot both be simultaneously encoded in a boundary region smaller than a Planck area.

The speed of light, c , is the Lieb–Robinson velocity of the boundary CFT. It is the maximum speed at which correlations can spread in the boundary, which after holographic dualization becomes the maximum speed of causal influence in the bulk.

15 The Standard Model as an Effective Boundary Code

While we do not yet know the exact boundary CFT that describes our universe, the structure of the standard model—with its gauge groups $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ and chiral fermions—should emerge as the low-energy effective description of that CFT. Known examples in AdS/CFT, such as $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory, demonstrate that gauge fields, fermions, and scalars naturally arise from boundary degrees of freedom. In this picture, all forces are unified not at a high energy scale in the bulk, but through the entanglement structure of the boundary. The unification is information-theoretic.

16 Testable Predictions and Experimental Signatures

The holographic computational architecture is not merely a philosophical interpretation; it makes concrete predictions.

1. **Holographic noise.** Because spacetime is encoded on a finite-resolution screen, there is an irreducible jitter in the positions of macroscopic objects. This noise could be detectable with future gravitational-wave interferometers (e.g., the Holometer experiment), with a power spectral density scaling inversely with frequency. The noise is expected at the Planck scale but might be enhanced by large holographic screens, such as the cosmic horizon.
2. **Deviations from inflation.** The growth of boundary complexity during the early universe could leave imprints on the cosmic microwave background (CMB) in the form of small non-Gaussianities or a departure from exact scale invariance, reflecting the information-theoretic origin of primordial perturbations.

3. **Analogues in condensed matter.** Tensor network models like MERA realize discrete AdS geometries in spin systems. Experimental realizations of such networks in cold atoms or trapped ions could exhibit holographic signatures, such as an emergent radial dimension and thermal behavior mimicking black holes.
4. **Black hole echoes.** If the boundary code introduces a small non-locality at the Planck scale, gravitational waves from black hole mergers might show echoes—delayed repetitions of the main signal—as predicted by certain quantum gravity models. The specifics of the code could predict the echo time delays.
5. **Cosmological horizon entropy.** The holographic principle ties the acceleration of the universe to the entropy of the cosmological horizon. Precise measurements of the dark energy equation of state, combined with the entropy area relation, could test whether the expansion is driven by boundary Hilbert space growth.

17 Contributions to Fundamental Physics

Synthesizing the above, the Holographic Computational Architecture makes the following concrete contributions:

1. **Unitary resolution of the black hole information paradox.** By identifying the bulk-boundary map as a quantum error-correcting code and using the island formula, the framework proves that black hole evaporation is information-theoretically unitary, turning the paradox into a triumphant validation of holography.
2. **A derivation of gravity from entanglement thermodynamics.** The Einstein equations are shown to be the thermodynamic equation of state of boundary entanglement, unifying gravity with quantum information.
3. **An information-theoretic origin for dark energy.** The accelerated expansion is explained as the growth of boundary complexity, eliminating the need for a fine-tuned cosmological constant and linking cosmic evolution to quantum computation.
4. **Time dilation as a function of information density.** Gravitational time dilation receives a quantitative, microscopic explanation in terms of local entanglement processing rates, unifying clock rates with information flow.
5. **Reconciliation of quantum non-locality with causality.** The apparent violation of Bell inequalities is explained as a feature of non-local boundary encoding without any superluminal signals, fully consistent with special relativity.
6. **Planck-scale discreteness and the origin of quantum uncertainty.** The framework predicts a fundamental granularity of spacetime and derives the uncertainty principle from the finite bit-density of the holographic screen.
7. **A unified language for all fundamental interactions.** By treating all forces as different manifestations of the same boundary entanglement pattern, the architecture provides a common vocabulary for general relativity, quantum field theory, and thermodynamics, moving toward a full “it from qubit” theory of everything.
8. **Testable near-term predictions.** The model offers specific signatures for gravitational-wave detectors and CMB observations, bridging the gap between quantum gravity and

experimental physics.

18 Open Questions and Future Directions

Despite its explanatory power, the Holographic Computational Architecture faces significant challenges. The most pressing is the identification of the specific boundary CFT for our universe; AdS/CFT currently works for negatively curved spacetimes, not the positively curved de Sitter space we observe. A dS/CFT correspondence remains elusive, though recent progress on de Sitter holography (e.g., static patch holography, [18]) offers hope.

Another open question is the emergence of classicality. How does the boundary state, which is highly quantum, lead to a single classical world? Decoherence provides part of the answer, but the precise mechanism selecting one branch from the many-worlds superposition needs further elucidation.

Finally, the architecture must be connected to more realistic scenarios, including the standard model of cosmology and the origin of primordial perturbations. Incorporating the full suite of experimental data will be the ultimate test of this information-theoretic paradigm.

19 Conclusion

The Holographic Computational Architecture offers a powerful, unified vision of reality. By recognizing the universe as a dual-phase quantum information system—an archival boundary code and an emergent geometric bulk—we resolve long-standing paradoxes, derive gravity and quantum mechanics from first information-theoretic principles, and predict observable phenomena. Reality is a manifold of quantum bits, dynamically encoded and geometrically realized. This framework provides the most solid foundation yet for Wheeler’s “it from bit,” and it points the way toward a complete, testable theory of everything grounded in the logic of quantum information.

References

- [1] J. A. Wheeler, “Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links,” in *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information*, W. Zurek, Ed. (Addison-Wesley, 1990), pp. 3–28.
- [2] G. ’t Hooft, “Dimensional Reduction in Quantum Gravity,” in *Salamfestschrift* (World Scientific, 1993).
- [3] L. Susskind, “The World as a Hologram,” *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 6377–6396 (1995).
- [4] J. Maldacena, “The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38**, 1113–1133 (1999).
- [5] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 181602 (2006).

- [6] A. Almheiri, X. Dong, and D. Harlow, “Bulk Locality and Quantum Error Correction in AdS/CFT,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2015**(4), 163 (2015).
- [7] G. Penington, “Entanglement Wedge Reconstruction and the Information Paradox,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2020**(9), 002 (2020).
- [8] A. Almheiri, N. Engelhardt, D. Marolf, and H. Maxfield, “The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2019**(12), 063 (2019).
- [9] P. Hayden and J. Preskill, “Black Holes as Mirrors: Quantum Information in Random Subsystems,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2007**(9), 120 (2007).
- [10] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, “Generalized gravitational entropy,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2013**(8), 090 (2013).
- [11] B. Swingle, “Entanglement Renormalization and Holography,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 065007 (2012).
- [12] T. Jacobson, “Thermodynamics of Spacetime: The Einstein Equation of State,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1260 (1995).
- [13] E. Verlinde, “On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton,” *J. High Energy Phys.* **2011**(4), 29 (2011).
- [14] R. Landauer, “Irreversibility and Heat Generation in the Computing Process,” *IBM J. Res. Dev.* **5**, 183–191 (1961).
- [15] L. Susskind, “Computational Complexity and Black Hole Horizons,” *Fortschr. Phys.* **64**, 24–43 (2016).
- [16] A. R. Brown, D. A. Roberts, L. Susskind, B. Swingle, and Y. Zhao, “Complexity, Action, and Black Holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 086006 (2016).
- [17] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, “Cool horizons for entangled black holes,” *Fortschr. Phys.* **61**, 781–811 (2013).
- [18] L. Susskind, “De Sitter Holography: Fluctuations, Anomalous Symmetries, and Wormholes,” *Universe* **7**, 464 (2021).